

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,678	10/08/2004	Hideaki Kawamura	P26093	6113
7655 7550 0606/2008 GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			EXAMINER	
			KOSTAK, VICTOR R	
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/509,678 KAWAMURA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Victor R. Kostak -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1. 4. 8 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2,3,5-7 and 9-11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 October 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2622

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the

presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any

errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

2. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: in the first line of the

claim, "methods" should be singular Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohtsuki et

al. (6,477,282).

Ohtsuki (noting particularly Figs. 5, 6, 12-20, 23, 24 and 27) discloses a system and

method for interpolating pixels for resolution conversion, based on detected edges and their

shapes (e.g. col. 2 lines 41-49).

Included are the generation of binary patterns derived from the lines of input video data

corresponding to particular regions of the image (e.g. col. 2 lines 8-15 and lines 41-49; col. 22

lines 3-13);

reference pattern generation hardware (Fig. 5, 6, 19 and 20) and patterns (Fig. 23) and

angle patterns having different directions (Fig. 27 and 28);

comparison processing to detect the picture angle for the pixel in question, using the binary and reference patterns (e.g. col. 14 line 60 – col. 15 line 16; col. 21 lines 29-56); and shape detection based on the determined angle and lines adjacent to the pixel in question (e.g. col. 2 lines 8-15 and lines 27-49; col. 20 line 66 – col. 21 line 34), thereby meeting

question (e.g. col. 2 lines 8-15 and lines 27-49; col. 20 line 66 – col. 21 line 34), thereby meeting claims 1 and 11.

As for claim 8, the interpolation system includes the stages discussed above, which interpolation involves using the shape and angle of detected picture data related to a pixel to be interpolated, the value of the pixel in question being based on selected pixels surrounding the pixel in question (col. 2 lines 26-40; col. 21 lines 29-45).

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohtsuki.

Although Ohtsuki does not expressly discuss using thresholds to designate which binary level to which pixel or picture area, it would have been required to apply some criterion to designate the picture components to achieve binarization thereto, and therefore would have been obvious to apply thresholding that involves detected picture luminance/intensity (which defines the pixels).

Application/Control Number: 10/509,678 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Claims 2, 3, 5-7, 9 and 10 appear allowable over the prior art.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Victor R. Kostak whose telephone number is (571) 272-7348.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sinh W. Tran can be reached on (571) 272-7564. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Art Unit: 2622

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 308-HELP.

/Victor R. Kostak/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622

VRK